Photophysics of Multichromophoric Systems: Small Oligomers and Polymers in Solution and Film

Louise Sinks, Paul Frail, and Michael Therien



Outline



- Introduction
- Experimental
- Structures of the Arrays
- Polymer Photo-physics
- Conclusion

Introduction



- We desire to make devices and materials.
- We need to move charge or energy long distances.
- How do we correlate solution phase data with solid state results? How do you move from molecular studies to material sciences?
- Can we determine principles of molecular design?
- Can we correlate the photo-physics with the solid state materials properties?



(Photophysical) Experimental Techniques

- Steady state measurements
 UV-VIS
 - Fluorescence
- Time resolved measurements
 - Fluorescence (35 ps- seconds)
 - Femtosecond transient absorption (300 fs- 6 ns)
 - Nanosecond transient absorption (10 ns- μ s)

FS-TA

Ti:S Oscillator and Regen (CPA-2001) $\lambda = 775$ nm, 1kHz, ~800 mW



White light probe centered at $\lambda = 775$ nm.

Visible excitation: OPA1 provides tunable light from ~550 to 710 nm; 775 nm or 338 nm pulses are available by bypassing the OPA

CCD camera provides 400-1100 nm detection capability

Redirection of probe to an InGaAs detector extends detection wavelengths through ~ 1800 nm.





Transient Absorption







Porphyrin Oligomers



Different Substituents

DDD

3,5

2,6



01



O3 $R_1 = R_2 =$



The "DD" Series

	$ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_{\max} \\ (S_0 \rightarrow S_1) \\ [nm]^a \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{g} @\\ \lambda_{max}(S_{0} \rightarrow S_{1})\\ [M^{-1} cm^{-1} \\ 1] \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_{\max} \\ (S_1 \rightarrow S_0) \\ [nm]^a \end{array} $	$\Phi_{ m f}{}^b$	$ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_{\max} \\ (S_1 \rightarrow S_n) \\ [nm]^{a,c} \end{array} $	$ \tau_{\rm F}^{d} $ $ (\tau_{\rm o})^{e} $ [ns]
DD	695 (1085)	51400	711 (810)	0.16 (0.03)	980 (656)	1.09 (17.6)
DDD	770 (1380)	116000	806 (875)	0.22 (0.03)	1120 (750)	1.13 (7.32)
DDDDD	842 (1563)	230000	883 (955)	0.14 (0.01)	1325 (1980)	0.45 (3.56)



NIR Band- Marker for structural inhomeneity in the excited state?



Exceptional Near-Infrared Fluorescence Quantum Yields and Excited-State Absorptivity of Highly Conjugated Porphyrin Arrays, Duncan, Susumu, Sinks, and Therien, *JACS*, ASAP

Tuning the Bandwidth-Adding Porphyrin Units







Using the method of Meier et al., the λ_{max} vs. n was fit with:

$$\lambda_i(n) = \lambda_{i,\infty} - (\lambda_{i,\infty} - \lambda_{i,1})e^{-b_i(n-1)}$$

 $\lambda_{i,\infty} = 863 \text{ nm}$ b= 0.5



Different Substituents

DDD

3,5

2,6



01



O3 $R_1 = R_2 =$



Large Impact in the Solid State!



UV-Vis Spectra



"O1" Series in Solution

 $\sim\sim\sim$



Absorption Spectra





Transient Absorption of ZnO1-3 in THF



Global fitting indicates two main time constants: 63 ps and 800 ps. This is somewhat shorter than those reported for the DDD series, which found three time constants of 70 ps, 130 ps, and 1.24 ns



ZnO1-polymers

Batch	Cat.	$\lambda_{max}(nm)$	Cond.
А	AsPh3	911	4
В	AsPh3	896	1
С	PPh3	876	2
D	P(Cyclo)	904	3
ZnO1-3		777	5





UV-VIS







Polymer A





Global fit produces 2-3 time constants:

Low power: 6.9 ps, 203 ps, and very long lived component



Second Order Kinetics





Singlet-Singlet Annihilation (or fusion)



- When an assembly of chromophores are photo-excited by a laser, numerous chromophores in the assembly may be excited.
- If the chromophores are in good communication, the excitons can migrate to each other and interact. *S+ *S → S + **S
- This higher excited singlet state (**S) generally quickly dumps energy to re-form the first excited singlet state.
- The net result is the destruction of one exciton and the preservation of one exciton



Annihilation



- Annihilation is well known in the literature.
- First seen in PS
- Bimolecular process- second order kinetics
- Should be power dependent
- Can, in theory, back out the number of chromophores involved
- Indication of good electronic coupling

Annihilation Analysis



Assume a simple kinetic model where the annihilation rate is *time independent* (γ_2) and defined as a pseudo-first order rate (rate per pair of excitons) per Paillotin et al.*

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \gamma_1 n - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 n$$

* Paillotin et al., Biophys. J., 25, 513-534



ZnO1-polymers

Batch	Cat.	$\lambda_{max}(nm)$	cond	$\gamma_1 (x 10^{12} 1/s)$
А	AsPh3	911	4	211
В	AsPh3	896	1	11.3
С	PPh3	876	2	44.4
D	P(Cyclo)	904	3	93.1
ZnO1-3		777	5	N/A

Linear Chain Model



- $\tau_a = [N(N-1)* \tau_{hop}]/6$
 - Where N= number of sites sampled, τ_a = annihilation time constant, and τ_{hop} is the exciton lifetime at each site
- Assuming no chain-chain interactions, which may not be correct.
- Let us assume that the hopping rate is the same in these systems (and it is 1 fs) and calculate a hypothetical "n"



ZnO1-polymers

$\gamma_1 (x 10^{12} 1/s)$	n	Batch	Cat.	$\lambda_{max}(nm)$	cond
)	
11.3	23	В	AsPh3	896	1
44.4	12	С	PPh3	876	2
93.1	8	D	P(Cyclo)	904	3
211	6	А	AsPh3	911	4

Note: if we assume the hopping rate is the same for all the polymers, then a larger annihilation rate means a shorter chain (with a linear chain model).



Why Chain-Chain Interactions?



Thin Films





Rapid deactivation of the excited state (non-linear with power). Subtle dynamic differences between films, but the spectra show differences base on sol. group

Transient Kinetics of ZnO1-3 (B) in FILM @ 458.9 nm





Conclusions



- Annihilation data correlates very well with preliminary conductivity data.
 - Does this mean that intrachain communication is key?
 - Or is this another indication that some polymers form aggregates (and interchain communication is important?)
- More structural information is needed to correlate with the photo-physical data
 - Is our n vs. λ plot saturated at ~860 nm, or do we just need more data?
 - Do we have chain-chain interactions?
- Films show fast deactivation pathway
 - Is this annihilation or something else?
 - How do we test this?

Acknowledgements



Prof. Michael Therien & Prof. Robin Hochstrasser

Paul Frail Timothy V. Duncan

Prof. Paul J. Angiolillo St. Joseph's University

Funding NIH NIH Ruth L. Kirschstein NRSA Fellowship Wasielewski Group/ Northwestern University Wenhao Liu Dr. Michael Tauber Randall Goldsmith

